Response to Office Action U.S. 08/325,278 13459PCTUS Page 2 of 5

REMARKS

Claims 14-19 and 21 are pending in the above-referenced application. In the office action dated September 10, 2003 claims 14-16, 18 and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). Claims 17 and 21 were acknowledged as free from prior art. For the reasons explained in more detail below, Applicants respectfully request that the outstanding rejections be withdrawn.

The Examiner rejects claims 14-16, 18 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Kastern *et al.*, (1990) in light of "Sequence Search Result #2," and asserts "Sequence Search Result #2... is set forth in the publication of Kastern *et al.*"

Applicants respectfully submit that the bibliographic data for the cited references in search result #2 are misleading and have caused the Examiner to infer incorrectly that a particular sequence was disclosed in both Kastern et al. (1990) and Björck (1992) (also referred to as Kastern (1992)). However, an examination of the underlying documents reveals that the recited database sequence was only disclosed in the later (1992) reference, which is not prior art. (Hellebust Declaration Par. 10.) By contrast only fragments were disclosed by the earlier reference; specific areas of the cited sequence are absent. (Hellebust Declaration Par. 10.) As described more fully below, when the pending claims are viewed in light of the Kastern et al. (1990) reference and not the search results, it becomes apparent that the pending claims are not taught, disclosed or otherwise suggested by the cited reference.

The claims of the present application are directed to isolated proteins having the ability to bind to the light chain of immunoglobulins consisting essentially of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1, or any of the domains B1, B2, B3 or B4, which are portions of SEQ ID NO:1 or a protein consisting essentially of a multiple of these domains. The claims are further directed to hybrid proteins consisting essentially of one or more of the B1-B4 domains together with domains that bind to heavy chains of immunoglobulin G, reagent kits comprising a protein of the invention and detection agent, and compositions comprising the peptide and additive or carrier.

Response to Office Action U.S. 08/325,278 13459PCTUS Page 3 of 5

Applicants submit that Kastern et al. (1990), does not teach, disclose or otherwise suggest any of the proteins claimed.

As explained in paragraph 8 of the Declaration of Dr. Hellebust, the cited reference, Kastern et al. (1990), is concerned with the characterization of the bacterial immunoglobulin-binding protein known as protein L. The cited reference discloses the cloning and sequence determination of a part of the protein gene (pp. 1219-1220), and it discloses a sequence of some 220 nucleotides in Figure 5. However, this is not a complete characterization of the protein L gene. The complete sequence of the protein L gene and corresponding amino acid sequence were only reported subsequently by Kastern, Sjobring & Björck, J. Biolog. Chem. (1992). It has already been established that that 1992 paper by Kastern et al. is not prior art (paragraph 5 of the office action dated January 29, 2002).

In relation to the sequence that is disclosed in Kastern et al. (1990), as Dr. Hellebust confirms, this is a small fragment of the complete protein L gene. (Hellebust Declaration Par. 9.) It does not correspond to the complete sequence of SEQ ID NO:1, and although it corresponds to a part of that sequence it does not include, in their entirety any of the immunoglobulin-binding domains B1-B4. Thus, Kastern et al. (1990) does not disclose the complete sequences of the amino acids 1 to 305 of SEQ ID NO:1, amino acids 5 to 80 of SEQ ID NO:1 corresponding to the B1 domain, amino acids 81 to 152 of SEQ ID NO:1 corresponding to the B2 domain, amino acids 153 to 224 of SEQ ID NO:1 corresponding to the B3 domain and amino acids 225 to 296 of SEQ ID NO:1 corresponding to the B4 domain. (Hellebust Declaration Par. 10.)

Notably, figure 5 of Kastern et al. (1990), which is the longest sequence of the cited reference, does not disclose amino acids 1 to 108 and 183 to 305 of SEQ ID NO:1, any of B1, amino acids 81 to 108 of B2, amino acids 183 to 224 of B3, or any of B4. It appears that the sequence search results may have been arrived at by comparison with the sequence disclosed in the later paper by Kastern et al. from 1992, which is not prior art. Dr. Hellebust, a person of at least ordinary skill in the art has

P. 09/14

Response to Office Action U.S. 08/325,278 13459PCTUS Page 4 of 5

reviewed the underlying references, and concluded from the Kastern et al. (1990) reference itself, that it is clear that the reference does not disclose either the sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 or the sequences of any of the immunoglobulin-binding domains B1-B4.

Dr. Hellebust further confirms that the disclosed sequences would not be expected to bind the light chains of immunoglobulins. (Hellebust Declaration Par. 11.)

Finally, with regard to a hybrid protein as defined in claim 15, the Examiner argued that such hybrid proteins were also disclosed in Kastern et al. (1990). Again, Applicants respectfully disagree. Claim 15 is directed to a specific type of hybrid protein that consists essentially of one or more of the B1-B4 domains of protein L that bind to immunoglobulin light chains and domains that bind to heavy chains of immunoglobulin G. As confirmed in paragraph 11 of Dr Hellebust's Declaration, there is no disclosure of a hybrid protein comprising domains of protein L that bind to the light chain of immunoglobulins and domains that bind to the heavy chains of immunoglobulin G. Nowhere in Kastern et al. (1990) is there any disclosure of a hybrid protein incorporating both these components.

In summary, Kastern et al. (1990) discloses a nucleotide sequence and corresponding amino acid sequence of only a short fragment of protein L. It does not disclose the complete protein L sequence. Moreover, the specific fragment disclosed by the reference does not correspond to the protein binding domains identified in the present application. It does not correspond to the specific sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or the complete sequence of any of the individual binding domains B1-B4. Further, Kastern et al. (1990) does not disclose a hybrid protein based on the proteins of the invention in conjunction with domains that bind to the heavy chain of immunoglobulin G.

It is therefore submitted that the cited reference does not disclose either an isolated protein having the ability to bind to the light chains of immunoglobulins as PAGE 9/14 * RCVD AT 4/14/2004 1:09:46 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-3/25 * DNIS:2730860 * CSID:212 813 9600 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-38 Response to Office Action U.S. 08/325,278 13459PCTUS Page 5 of 5

defined in claim 14 or a hybrid protein as defined in claim 15 or 16. Thus, it cannot disclose a reagent kit or composition as defined in claim 18 or 19. Accordingly, it is submitted that the subject matter claimed is not anticipated by Kastern et al. (1990) and that the pending claims are in condition for allowance.

Favorable reconsideration is therefore respectfully requested.

It is submitted that no fees are due other than the accompanying fees for the petition for an extension of time, and the Notice of Appeal. If any additional fee is due, or an overpayment has been made, the Patent Office is hereby authorized to charge or to credit Deposit Account No. 11-0171 for such sum.

Respectfully submitted

Scott D. Locke, Esq.

Reg. No. 44,877

Attorney for Applicants

54586.2

13459PCTUS ·

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Björck et al.

Examiner:

Nita M. Minnifield

Serial No.:

08/325,278

Group Art Unit:

1645

Filed:

April 28, 1993

For:

"Protein L and Hybrid Proteins Thereof"

Customer No.:

23719

Kalow & Springut LLP

488 Madison Avenue, 19th Floor New York, New York 10022

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF DR. HALLDIS HELLEBUST

Sir

I, Halldis Hellebust, declare as follows:

- I submit this declaration in support of the accompanying Response to Final Office
 Action.
- I make this declaration based upon my training, knowledge, education and experience in the field of protein engineering, protein expression, protein analysis, protein purification, my review of the above referenced patent application, the history of

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 C.F.R. 1.8

- prosecution of this application, and my review of the Office Action dated September 10, 2003 that issued in connection with the above-referenced patent application, as well as the art cited in that Office Action: Kastern *et al.* (Infection and Immunity, 1990, vol. 58, p1217-1222.)
- 3. I am familiar with the technology of Kastern (1990) and understand the teachings expressed therein.
- 4. I hold the degree of a Master of Science in biochemical engineering from the Norwegian Institute of Technology, Trondheim, and a PhD in biotechnology from the Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm
- 5. I have over 20 years work experience in the field of protein biochemistry. A significant part of this work was done on Protein A and Protein G which are other proteins used for purification of antibodies.
- 6. I am an employee of Affitech A/S who are the assignees of the above application and hold the position of Director for Discovery and Production. I have been working with protein L for three years and I am familiar with all the aspects of its biology. I started working on Protein L as Senior Scientist in 2000. My work has included design of a vector suitable for production of Protein L in a fermenter, establishing the fermentation protocol, purification of the produced Protein L and coupling Protein L to matrices useful in using Protein L for purification of antibodies. As Director for Discovery and Production, I am now responsible for all aspects of Protein L related to its science and production.
- 7. Based on my reading of the office action, which was mailed September 10, 2003, I understand that the Examiner considers that a protein having the ability to bind to the light chains of immunoglobulins as defined in claim 14 is disclosed by Kastern et al. (1990). I respectfully disagree.
- 8. Kastern et al., (1990) relates to the characterisation of protein L, which is an immunoglobulin light chain binding-protein expressed on the surface of certain strains of P magnus. In particular, this paper does disclose the N-terminal sequence of two polypeptide fragments of protein L (see Figure 3, p1220). A seven amino acid long sequence from one of these was used to design oligonucleotide probes (shown in Figure 4, p1220), which were in turn used to clone a sequence of 220 nucleotides (shown in Figure 5, p1220). A corresponding amino acid sequence was derived and is also shown in Figure 5. This is described under the heading "cloning and sequence

ķ

added). Kastern et al., (1990) does not disclose the cloning of the complete protein L gene. The cloning of the complete protein L gene was only achieved subsequently (Kastern et al J. Biological Chemistry, 1992, vol. 267, pp.12820-12825). The complete sequence of the protein L gene and corresponding amino acid sequence of some 719 amino acids is shown in Figure 1 of Kastern et al. (1992). That sequence is not disclosed either explicitly or implicitly in Kastern et al. (1990).

- 9. Kastern et al (1990) does disclose a sequence of 220 nucleotides, which is a small fragment of the complete protein L gene. This sequence corresponds to positions 109 to 182 of SEQ ID NO: 1 given in the present application. It corresponds to a part of the sequence identified as the B2 domain (positions 81-152 of SEQ ID NO:1) and of the B3 domain (positions 153-224 of SEQ ID NO:1). However, the sequence does not include in their entirety any of the immunoglobulin binding domains B1-B4 identified in the application. It omits the first 28 amino acids of domain B2 and last 42 amino acids of B3. These deletions are substantial and would compromise the functionality of such a sequence. The sequence described in Kastern et al. (1990) does not therefore correspond to any of the binding domains B1-B4 identified in the application and would not be expected to bind the light chain of immunoglobulins.
- 10. The Examiner has referred at page 2, final paragraph of the office action to "Sequence Search Result #2" as disclosing a 99.7% match with SEQ ID NO:1 and that it is set forth in the publication of Kastern et al. (1990). The Examiner has also referred on page 3, final paragraph to additional search results on the individual domains B1-B4 concluding these are also disclosed. I would emphasise that such sequences were not disclosed in the Kastern et al. (1990). The complete sequence of protein L and the individual sequence of the binding domains B1-B4 were only identified in the subsequent Kastern et al. (1992). That later paper does identify the individual binding domains. However, such information cannot be derived in any way from the earlier Kastern et al. (1990) disclosure. It is not clear to me on what basis the Examiner has reached the conclusion that these sequences are disclosed in the earlier paper though I do note that the Sequence Search Results refer to both Kastern et al. (1990) and the subsequently published reference Kastern et al. (1992), which is identified in the search result as "Bjöerck Sjoebring & Kastern, J. Biol. Chem. 267:12820-12825

ï

the reference does not disclose amino acids 1 to 305 of SEQ ID NO 1. Nor does it disclose the amino acids 5 to 80 of SEQ ID NO 1 corresponding to the B1 domain, amino acids 81 to 152 of SEQ ID NO 1 corresponding to the B2 domain, amino acids 153 to 224 of SEQ ID NO 1 corresponding to the B3 domain, or amino acids 225 to 296 of SEQ ID NO 1 corresponding to the B4 domain.

- . 11. Finally, I understand that the Examiner has argued in the section bridging pages 3-4 of the office action that Kastern et al. (1990) discloses a hybrid protein consisting essentially of one or more of the B1-B4 domains and domains which bind to heavy chains of immunoglobulin G as defined in claim 15. There is however absolutely no disclosure in Kastern et al (1990) of such a fusion protein comprising domains of protein L which bind to the light chain of immunoglobulins and domains which bind to the heavy chains of immunoglobulin G. Such a construct including both the binding domains identified in the invention and additional elements which bind to heavy chains is in no way suggested by Kastern et al. (1990).
 - 12. It is therefore my considered opinion that Kastern et al. (1990) does not disclose or suggest a protein consisting essentially of any of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 or a protein consisting essentially of the binding domains B1-B4 or a multiple of such domains. It further does not disclose or suggest a hybrid protein incorporating one or more of the B1-B4 domains and domains which bind to the heavy chain of immunoglobulin G. Correspondingly, it does not describe a reagent kit comprising any such protein in combination with a detection reagent.

All statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further these statements are made with the knowledge that wilful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such wilful false statements may jeopardise the validity of this Declaration, the patent application, or any patents issuing thereon.

Declared 3rd of February 2004.